

Journal of Applied Animal Research



ISSN: 0971-2119 (Print) 0974-1844 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/taar20

Zilpaterol hydrochloride improves growth performance and carcass traits without affecting wholesale cut yields of hair sheep finished in feedlot

Rolando Rojo-Rubio, Leonel Avendaño-Reyes, Benito Albarrán, José F. Vázquez, Sergio A. Soto-Navarro, Juan E. Guerra & Ulises Macías-Cruz

To cite this article: Rolando Rojo-Rubio, Leonel Avendaño-Reyes, Benito Albarrán, José F. Vázquez, Sergio A. Soto-Navarro, Juan E. Guerra & Ulises Macías-Cruz (2017): Zilpaterol hydrochloride improves growth performance and carcass traits without affecting wholesale cut yields of hair sheep finished in feedlot, Journal of Applied Animal Research, DOI: 10.1080/09712119.2017.1307756

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2017.1307756

| 9 | © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group |
|-----------|--|
| | Published online: 27 Mar 2017. |
| | Submit your article to this journal 🗗 |
| α | View related articles 🗷 |
| CrossMark | View Crossmark data 🗗 |

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=taar20







Zilpaterol hydrochloride improves growth performance and carcass traits without affecting wholesale cut yields of hair sheep finished in feedlot

Rolando Rojo-Rubio^a, Leonel Avendaño-Reyes^b, Benito Albarrán^a, José F. Vázquez ⁶, Sergio A. Soto-Navarro^c, Juan E. Guerra^d and Ulises Macías-Cruz ^b

^aCentro Universitario UAEM Temascaltepec, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, Temascaltepec, México; ^bInstituto de Ciencias Agrícolas, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Mexicali, México; ^cDepartment of Animal and Range Sciences, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, USA; ^dFacultad de Agronomía, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, México

ABSTRACT

Fourteen Dorper \times Pelibuey ram lambs (initial body weight [BW] = 37.4 ± 1.0 kg and age = 4.5 mo) were housed in individual pens during a 30-d feeding period, and then slaughtered to determine the effects of zilpaterol hydrochloride (ZH) supplementation on productive performance, carcass characteristics and wholesale cut yields. Lambs were assigned under a randomized complete block design (initial BW as blocking factor) to one of two dietary treatments: basal diet without (control) or with 10 mg daily of ZH/lamb. Lambs fed ZH had greater ($P \le .04$) final BW, average daily gain and dry matter intake, but similar (P = .24) feed efficiency compared with control lambs. Hot and cold carcass weight, dressing percentage, longissimus muscle area and leg perimeter were greater ($P \le .05$) for ZH-fed lambs than for control lambs. With exception of blood percentage which decreased (P < .01) with ZH, wholesale cut yields and non-carcass components were unaffected ($P \ge .12$) by ZH supplementation. In conclusion, ZH can be used to improve growth rate and dressing percentage, but not to increase wholesale cut yields in feedlot finishing ram lambs.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 September 2016 Accepted 12 March 2017

KEYWORDS

Ram sheep; β2-adrenergic agonists: carcass: wholesale

1. Introduction

Growth of the sheep meat industry throughout the world has been slow compared with meat industries of other domestic animals. Therefore, increasing productivity and efficiency of sheep meat production are key factors to enhance the competitiveness of this industry (Montossi et al. 2013). The use of β2adrenergic agonists (β_2 -AA) as growth promoters has demonstrated to increase sheep meat production by improving protein deposition in muscle (Domínguez-Vara et al. 2013). The use of such technology in the lamb fattening systems could be a nutritional strategy to improve their competitiveness.

Over the last decade, zilpaterol hydrochloride (ZH) has been the most widely studied β_2 -AA and is officially approved for cattle feeding in various countries. Studies done with ram lambs from hair genotypes have reported up to 43 and 35% more daily weight gain and feed efficiency, respectively, when ZH is supplemented (Ríos-Rincón et al. 2010; Lopez-Carlos et al. 2011). Carcass characteristics of economic importance (i.e. carcass weight, dressing percentage, Longissimus dorsi area) also increased up to 13% by effect of ZH (Lopez-Carlos et al. 2011). However, the level of response expected by ZH supplementation in lambs has not been consistent among studies related to growth, feed efficiency, dressing percentage and internal fat deposition.

It is noteworthy that there are few studies about effects of ZH on wholesale cut yields in finishing male lambs. DávilaRamírez et al. (2014) reported that ZH supplementation produced greater leg yield but lower plain loin yield in Dorper x Pelibuey ram lambs maintained under heat stress conditions. However, other studies completed with both ram (Macías-Cruz et al. 2016) and ewe (Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2015) lambs found that ZH did not alter wholesale cut yields. These results demonstrate the need for further studies on this topic. It was hypothesized that the addition of ZH in the finishing diet can improve growth, carcass characteristics and yield of some wholesale cuts in ram lambs. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of daily supplementation of ZH on productive performance, carcass characteristics and wholesale cut yields of hair sheep ram lambs finished in intensive fattening.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Animals

Animal care and management procedures involving lambs were conducted according to the guidelines approved by the Mexican Official Norms (NOM-051-ZOO-1995: humanitarian care of animals during mobilization; NOM-033-ZOO-1995: slaughter of domestic and wild animals). The study was conducted at the Sheep Metabolic Unit, at the Centro Universitario Temascaltepec of the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (CUT-UAEM), located in the Estado de México, central

2 (

Mexico (latitude 19.03°N and longitude 100.02°W). Fourteen Dorper \times Pelibuey male lambs (37.4 \pm 1.0 kg initial body weight [BW] and age = 4.5 mo) were adapted to individual pens and basal diet (Table 1) for 15 d before starting the experimental phase. The dimensions of the pens were 1.0 m wide \times 1.2 m long, and each one was equipped with feed trough, automatic waterer and shade. Also, animals were treated with vitamin A-D-E (Vigantol; Bayer, Mexico City, Mexico; 1 mL/animal) and against internal and external parasites (Invermectin; Sanfer Laboratory, Mexico City, Mexico; 0.5 mL/animal) at the beginning of the adaptation period.

The first day of the experimental phase, lambs were weighed $(37.36\pm1.0~{\rm kg})$ and organized into blocks of two animals of similar BW (blocking factor = BW), resulting in a total of seven blocks. Animals from each block were randomly assigned to one of the following two dietary treatments (n=7 each): (1) basal diet without ZH (control) and (2) basal diet with 10 mg of ZH/lamb/d. The daily dose of ZH per animal for treated lambs was weighed and placed into a gel capsule, while control lambs were fed an empty capsule daily (without ZH). To ensure the daily intake of the capsules before the morning feeding according to each treatment, capsules were smeared with molasses and placed at the bottom of the oral cavity using a bolus gun (one capsule/lamb/d). Additionally, a person supervised visually each day that no lamb discarded the capsule.

The experimental phase lasted 30 d, and the male lambs fed ZH were treated with the β_2 -AA during the first 28 d followed by a 2-d withdrawal period before slaughter. Feed was offered three times daily at 600, 1300 and 2000 h in proportions of 40%, 30% and 30%, respectively. Fresh water was offered *ad libitum*. Two samples per week of feed were collected, dried in forced-air oven at 60°C for 24 h and stored for analysis.

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of the basal diet.

| Item | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Ingredient, as-feed basis | % |
| Yellow corn meal | 37.5 |
| Polished rice | 10.0 |
| Dried distillers grains | 10.0 |
| Soybean hulls | 8.0 |
| Cane molasses | 8.0 |
| Canola meal | 7.5 |
| Soybean meal | 7.0 |
| Wheat bran | 6.0 |
| Corn gluten meal | 2.0 |
| Mineral salts for lambs | 1.5 |
| Calcium carbonate | 1.0 |
| Urea | 0.5 |
| Common salt | 0.5 |
| Bypass fat | 0.5 |
| Chemical composition, DM basis | % |
| Dry matter | 92.0 |
| Organic matter | 90.0 |
| Crude protein | 14.5 |
| Ether extract | 8.5 |
| Neutral detergent fibre | 17.1 |
| Neutral acid fibre | 8.2 |
| Dietary energy, DM basis ^a | Mcal/kg |
| Digestible energy | 3.5 |
| Metabolizable energy | 2.9 |
| Net energy for maintenance | 1.9 |
| Net energy for gain | 1.3 |

^aAll dietary energies were calculated using formulas from NRC (1985).

Samples were ground (2-mm screen, Wiley mill model 4; Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA) and mixed to obtain two subsamples, which were analysed for dry matter (DM; ID 930.15), ash (ID 942.05), ether extract (ID 945.16) and crude protein (ID 984.13) according to the methodology of the AOAC (1997). Organic matter content was estimated by subtracting the ash content to DM content. Concentrations of neutral detergent fibre and acid detergent fibre were determined using the filter bag technique (Ankom 200 Fiber Analyzer; Ankom Technology, Macedon, NY, USA; Van Soest et al. 1991). Total digestible nutrients (Alves et al. 2011) and digestible energy (DE; NRC 1985) were calculated to estimate metabolizable energy (ME) as follow: $ME = DE \times 0.82$ (NRC 1985). Also, net energy for maintenance (NEm = 1.37EM - 0.138EM² + $0.0105EM^3 - 1.12$) and gain $(NEg = 1.42EM - 0.174EM^2 +$ 0.0122EM³ – 1.65) were calculated using the formulas from NRC (1985).

2.2. Evaluation of feedlot performance

Individual BW was recorded before the morning feeding at days 1 and 31 of the experimental period. Body weights were reduced 4% to adjust for gastrointestinal fill. Also, both feed and water offered and refused were measured daily before the morning feeding. From data collected, average daily gain (ADG), total weight gain, dry matter intake (DMI), feed efficiency (ADG/DMI) and water intake were calculated for the overall period.

2.3. Evaluation of carcass and non-carcass traits

All lambs were slaughtered immediately after the 30-d feeding period in a commercial abattoir. Diet and water were withdrawn 12 h before the slaughter. The methodology utilized to evaluate carcass and non-carcass traits was the same as described by Macías-Cruz et al. (2010) and Avendaño-Reyes et al. (2011). After slaughter by exsanguination, lambs were bled, skinned and eviscerated to obtain weights of non-carcass components (i.e. blood, skin, head, foot, testicles, heart, liver, lungs, kidney, rumen, and small and large intestine), kidney-pelvic-heart fat (KPH), and hot carcass weight (HCW). After 24 h of cooling at 4°C, cold carcass weight (CCW), carcass length, thorax depth, leg length and perimeter, and carcass conformation (Smith et al. 2001; numerical scale from 1 = bad to 10 = excellent) were recorded. Each carcass was ribbed between the 12th and 13th rib to determine longissimus muscle (LM) area using a dot square grid of 64 mm², likewise fat thickness at approximately 5.0 cm from lateral to middle line with a vernier calliper. Additionally, the LM pH at 45 min and 24 h post-mortem was measured with a portable digital pH meter equipped with a puncture electrode (Hanna, Model HI 98140, USA).

Weights of all non-carcass components were expressed as percentages of the final BW, while KPH fat was expressed as a percentage of HCW. Cooling loss percentage was calculated by the difference between HCW and CCW as percentage of HCW, and dressing percentage was calculated as (HCW/final BW) \times 100.

2.4. Evaluation of wholesale cut yields

Carcasses were split along the middle line and right sides were used to obtain the following wholesale cuts: neck, shoulder, square cut shoulder, rack, loin, breast and flank, and leg. The yield of each cut was calculated expressing its respective weight as percentage of the weight of the carcass half.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Feedlot performance, carcass traits, non-carcass components and wholesale cut yields were subjected to analysis of variance using the PROC GLM of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC) under a randomized complete block design. Treatment means were compared through Tukey test considering significant differences at P < .05.

3. Results

Lambs fed ZH had greater ($P \le .05$) final BW, ADG, total weight gain and DMI than control lambs. Water intake and feed efficiency were not affected ($P \ge .13$) by ZH (Table 2). ZH supplementation increased ($P \le .05$) HCW, CCW, dressing percentage, LM area, carcass length and leg perimeter, but decreased (P = .04) KPH fat (Table 3). Other carcass characteristics were not affected ($P \ge .13$) by ZH supplementation. Additionally, there were no changes ($P \ge .25$) in wholesale cut yields by ZH effect (Table 4). The effects of ZH supplementation on non-carcass components expressed as percentage of the final BW are shown in Table 5. With exception of blood percentage which decreased (P < .01) with ZH supplementation, the rest of the non-carcass components were not affected ($P \ge .12$) by ZH.

4. Discussion

Increased efficiency of growth by preferentially stimulating skeletal muscle growth compared with adipose tissues is the mode of action of β_2 -AA in finishing animals (Moody et al. 2000; Johnson and Chung 2007). In the present study, ZH supplementation increased growth rate and DMI without affecting feed efficiency of finishing ram lambs. Therefore, the increased weight gain is likely explained by the increased DMI observed. Also, changes in composition of tissue gain (more lean than fat tissue) by ZH supplementation may partly explain the increased weight gain, such redirection of energy to protein synthesis and

Table 2. Productive performance of hair sheep male lambs supplemented with ZH.

| | ZH ^a (mg/d/animal) | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------|-----------------|
| Item | 0 | 10 | SEM | <i>P</i> -value |
| Initial weight (kg) | 37.32 | 36.39 | 0.67 | .37 |
| Final weight (kg) | 44.11 | 46.01 | 0.58 | .02 |
| Total gain (kg) | 6.79 | 9.57 | 0.79 | .05 |
| Average daily gain (kg/d) | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.02 | .04 |
| Dry matter intake (kg/d) | 1.33 | 1.61 | 0.05 | <.01 |
| Feed efficiency | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.02 | .24 |
| Water intake (L/d) | 3.06 | 3.74 | 0.27 | .13 |

^aZH supplementation: Lambs individually received a daily oral capsule that contained 0 or 10 mg of ZH before the morning feeding. Capsules were administered for 28 d followed by a 2-d withdrawal period.

Table 3. Carcass characteristics of hair sheep male lambs supplemented with ZH.

| ZH ^a (mg | | /d/animal) | | |
|---|-------|------------|------|-----------------|
| Item | 0 | 10 | SEM | <i>P</i> -value |
| Hot carcass weight (kg) | 20.34 | 22.63 | 0.32 | <.01 |
| Cold carcass weight (kg) | 19.00 | 22.23 | 0.32 | <.01 |
| Dressing (%) | 46.18 | 49.37 | 0.88 | .05 |
| Cooling loss (%) | 2.62 | 1.77 | 0.34 | .13 |
| Conformation (units) | 7.21 | 7.71 | 0.38 | .58 |
| LM ^c area (cm ²) | 15.48 | 17.69 | 0.51 | .02 |
| pH of LM | | | | |
| 45 min | 6.13 | 6.16 | 0.09 | .82 |
| 24 h | 5.48 | 5.55 | 0.07 | .17 |
| Fat thickness (cm) | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.04 | .48 |
| KPH fat (%) | 5.65 | 4.17 | 0.27 | .04 |
| Carcass length (cm) | 60.57 | 63.93 | 0.88 | .03 |
| Thorax depth (cm) | 19.64 | 20.40 | 0.39 | .35 |
| Leg length (cm) | 38.93 | 39.00 | 0.36 | .89 |
| Leg perimeter (cm) | 44.01 | 47.60 | 0.76 | .01 |

^aZH supplementation: Lambs individually received a daily oral capsule that contained 0 or 10 mg of ZH before the morning feeding. Capsules were administered for 28 d followed by a 2-d withdrawal period.

 Table 4. Wholesale cuts from carcass of hair sheep male lambs supplemented with

 711

| | ZH ^a (mg/d/animal) | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------|---------|
| Item (%) ^b | 0 | 10 | SEM | P-value |
| Neck | 6.73 | 7.02 | 0.16 | .25 |
| Shoulder | 18.44 | 18.04 | 0.24 | .29 |
| Square cut shoulder | 9.41 | 9.69 | 0.34 | .59 |
| Rack | 10.40 | 10.78 | 0.39 | .52 |
| Loin | 8.03 | 8.18 | 0.22 | .70 |
| Breast and flank | 16.92 | 16.15 | 0.47 | .29 |
| Leg | 30.17 | 30.67 | 0.35 | .35 |

^aZH supplementation: Lambs individually received a daily oral capsule that contained 0 or 10 mg of ZH before the morning feeding. Capsules were administered for 28 d followed by a 2-d withdrawal period.

hence to lean tissue growth as previously described Mersmann (1998). The smaller KPH values observed in the present study in the ZH-fed group make evident that ZH affected tissue composition towards greater protein synthesis and less lipid synthesis. Supplementation of ZH during the last 30–32 days before

Table 5. Percentage of non-carcass components in hair sheep male lambs supplemented with ZH.

| ZH ^a (mg/d/animal) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| Item (%) ^b | 0 | 10 | SEM | P-value | |
| Head | 4.74 | 4.49 | 0.12 | .20 | |
| Blood | 4.09 | 3.32 | 0.15 | <.01 | |
| Skin | 8.25 | 7.03 | 0.54 | .16 | |
| Heart | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0.05 | .12 | |
| Lung | 1.33 | 1.25 | 0.08 | .47 | |
| Liver | 2.02 | 2.04 | 0.12 | .92 | |
| Kidney | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.02 | .12 | |
| Rumen | 2.48 | 2.56 | 0.10 | .62 | |
| Small intestines | 1.25 | 1.09 | 0.13 | .43 | |
| Large intestines | 2.96 | 2.57 | 0.19 | .15 | |
| Testicles | 1.78 | 1.67 | 0.09 | .41 | |
| Foot | 2.41 | 2.24 | 0.10 | .23 | |

^aZH supplementation: Lambs individually received a daily oral capsule that contained 0 or 10 mg of ZH before the morning feeding. Capsules were administered for 28 d followed by a 2-d withdrawal period.

^bConformation was evaluated using a numerical scale from 1 = bad to 10 = excellent (Smith et al., 2001).

^cLM = *Longissimus* muscle.

^bExpressed as % of the half carcass weight.

bExpressed as % of the final BW.

slaughter has shown to consistently improve growth and feed efficiency in beef cattle (Avendaño-Reyes et al. 2006; Lean et al. 2014); however, it has been inconsistent in finishing lambs. Some studies have reported no effects (Macias-Cruz et al. 2010; Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2015), improvements of growth rate and feed efficiency without affecting DMI (Estrada-Angulo et al. 2008; Mondragón et al. 2010; Avendaño-Reyes et al. 2011) or increased growth rate, and feed efficiency and decreased DMI (López-Carlos et al. 2011, 2012) in sheep after 30-34 d consuming feedlot diets supplemented with ZH. Improvement in growth rate has been the most consistent and DMI the least consistent effect of ZH on sheep. Contrary to the increased DMI observed in the present study, previous experiments have shown that ZH supplementation to finishing sheep have decreased DMI (López-Carlos et al. 2011, 2012), or no effect on DMI (Estrada-Angulo et al. 2008; Mondragón et al. 2010; Avendaño-Reyes et al. 2011). Although the reasons for inconsistencies of effects of ZH supplementation on DMI of finishing sheep are not certain, the responses have been associated to factors such as climatic conditions (Macías-Cruz et al. 2013), initial BW (Aguilera-Soto et al. 2008) and dose of ZH (López-Carlos et al. 2011).

In agreement with previous reports (López-Carlos et al., 2010; Ríos-Rincón et al., 2010; Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2014), ZH supplementation in ram lambs improved carcass weights, dressing percentages and LM area, as well as reduced KPH fat deposition. These results can be attributed to the inhibitory effect of ZH on the proteolysis and lipogenesis, which in turn promotes increased protein synthesis by redirecting energy towards this process (Domínguez-Vara et al. 2013). Thus, ZH in lambs increases the formation of lean meat and, hence, the carcass weight and yield, as well as LM area at the same time that internal fat deposition decreases. Consistent with the present study, most studies in sheep have reported a lack of ZH effects on energy repartition away from subcutaneous fat (Estrada-Angulo et al. 2008; Macias-Cruz et al. 2010; Ríos-Rincón et al. 2010; Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2014, 2015).

Although the use of ZH in cattle has been associated with low muscle pH post-mortem (Avendaño-Reyes et al. 2006; Strydom et al. 2009; Hope-Jones et al. 2010), results in sheep are limited and inconsistent (López-Carlos et al. 2010; Mondragón et al. 2010; Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2014). A pH below 6.0 45 min post-mortem is associated with low water retention capacity and tenderness, and a pH greater than 6.0 24 h post-mortem is associated with dark, firm and dry (DFD) meat (Martínez-Cerezo et al. 2005). In the present study, LM pH at 45 min and 24 h post-mortem was not affected by ZH supplementation, and mean values were within the normal range expected. Consequently, this suggests that ZH supplementation did not cause problems of water retention capacity, tenderness, or DFD meat in sheep.

On the other hand, no effect of ZH on some wholesale cut yield was observed in the present study, which is in agreement with other studies where ZH supplementation was evaluated in ram (Macías-Cruz et al. 2016) and ewe lambs (Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2015) during a 34-d feeding period. However, our results also differ slightly from those previously reported by Dávila-Ramírez et al. (2014), who found greater leg yield but lower plain loin yield with no effect on other cuts (i.e. forequarter,

hindquarter, neck, ribs, loin, shoulder and sirloin) by effect of ZH in heat-stressed ram lambs. Also, Macías-Cruz et al. (2010) observed greater yield of ribs, hindquarter and legs, but lower vield of foreguarter, neck and shoulder in ewe lambs fed ZH during a warm season. It should be mentioned that there is no precise explanation for our results obtained, as previous studies in beef cattle have reported that ZH improved the development of type II muscle fibre, and consequently, muscle growth (Mersmann 1998; Walker et al. 2010). Based on the above, we expected a greater yield of some wholesale cuts, mainly in those cuts with high amount of type II muscle fibre (i.e. legs, loin, shoulder). Indeed, it is very possible that the low number of animal used affected the test power, situation that did not allow to detect differences between treatments in the wholesale cut yields. However, the improvement of carcass yield with ZH supplementation has been documented in other studies (López-Carlos et al. 2010; Ríos-Rincón et al. 2010); consequently more research is required but using a larger sample size per treatment to elucidate the effect of ZH on cut yields.

The redirection of nutrients from visceral organs for muscle formation is an action mechanism used by β₂-AA to act as growth promoter in beef cattle (Montgomery et al. 2009). However, given our results of non-carcass components, we observed very little effect of ZH on the organs weights of sheep (Ríos-Rincón et al. 2010; Dávila-Ramírez et al. 2014). It is possible that the transformation of mass from visceral organ to carcass tissues is a secondary mechanism used by the ZH molecule to improve muscle protein synthesis and weight gain in finishing lambs. Although, visceral tissues such as liver and gut represent only 6-10% of the body (Burrin et al. 1992), and is estimated that they consumed between 40% and 50% of net energy for maintenance (Webster 1981). Those tissues are very active in protein synthesis, and hence the contribution of these tissues to whole-body energy expenditure is substantial. Therefore, effects on size or weight of viscera tissue impact growth efficiency of the animal. Because ZH did not alter the organ and viscera weights but whether HCW, improvements in carcass composition most likely are not explained by redirection of energy from non-carcass components in hair sheep. Moreover, Reeds and Mersmann (1991) state that from all beta receptors, β₁-AA receptors are more abundant in smooth muscle; thus the lack of effect of ZH on the weights of non-carcass components was related to the possible low level of β₂-AA receptors in smooth muscle, a tissue associated with several internal organs in the body.

5. Conclusion

Overall results showed that ZH supplementation to Dorper × Pelibuey male lambs during the finishing phase was beneficial to improve growth traits, nevertheless, feed efficiency was not improved. Also, the addition of ZH to the finishing diet had a positive effect on some carcass traits of economic importance to the sheep meat industry such as carcass weight, dressing percentage and LM area. However, these improvements due to ZH were not reflected on wholesale cut yields of hair sheep male lambs finished in feedlot.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This study was supported by PROMEP-SEP under the thematic network 'Uso de Promotores de Crecimiento en Ovinos de Pelo (2014–2017)'.

ORCID

José F. Vázquez http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4888-969X Ulises Macías-Cruz http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6947-2247

References

- Aguilera-Soto JI, Ramírez RG, Arechiga CF, Méndez-Llorente F, López-Carlos MA, Silva-Ramos JM, Rincón-Delgado RM, Duran-Roldan FM. 2008. Zilpaterol hydrochloride on performance and sperm quality of lambs fed wet brewers grains. J Appl Anim Res. 34:17–21.
- Alves AR, Beelen PMG, de Medeiros AN, Neto SG, Beelen RN. 2011. Consumo e digestibilidad do feno de sabia por caprinos e ovinos suplementados com polietilenoglicol. Rev Caatinga. 24:152–157.
- AOAC. 1997. Official methods of analysis, 16th ed. Arlington, VA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Avendaño-Reyes L, Macías-Cruz U, Álvarez-Valenzuela FD, Águila-Tepato E, Torrentera-Olivera NG, Soto-Navarro SA. 2011. Effects of zilpaterol hydrochloride on growth performance, carcass characteristics, and wholesale cut yield of hair-breed ewe lambs consuming feedlot diets under moderate environmental conditions. J Anim Sci. 89:4188–4194.
- Avendaño-Reyes L, Torres-Rodríguez V, Meraz-Murillo FJ, Pérez-Linares C, Figueroa-Saavedra F, Robinson PH. 2006. Effects of two β-adrenergic agonists on finishing performance, carcass characteristics, and meat quality of feedlot steers. J Anim Sci. 84:3259–3265.
- Burrin DG, Britton RA, Ferrell CL, Bauer ML. 1992. Level of nutrition and visceral organ protein synthetic capacity and nucleic acid content in sheep. J Anim Sci. 70:1137–1145.
- Domínguez-Vara IA, Mondragón-Anselmo J, Bórquez-Gastelum JL, Mariezcurrena-Berasain MA. 2013. Utilization of zilpaterol hydrochloride in finishing lambs. In: AFZM Salem, editor. Nutritional strategies of animal feed additives. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers; p. 107– 113.
- Dávila-Ramírez JL, Macías-Cruz U, Torrentera-Olivera NG, González-Ríos H, Peña-Ramos EA, Soto-Navarro SA, Avendaño-Reyes L. 2015. Feedlot performance and carcass traits of hairbreed ewe lambs in response to zilpaterol hydrochloride and soybean oil supplementation. J Anim Sci. 93:3189–3196.
- Dávila-Ramírez JL, Macías-Cruz U, Torrentera-Olivera NG, González-Ríos H, Soto-Navarro SA, Rojo R, Avendaño-Reyes L. 2014. Effects of zilpaterol hydrochloride and soybean oil supplementation on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of hair-breed ram lambs under heat stress conditions. J Anim Sci. 92:1184–1192.
- Estrada-Angulo A, Barreras-Serrano A, Contreras G, Obregón JF, Robles-Estrada JC, Plascencia A, Zinn RA. 2008. Influence of level of zilpaterol chlorhydrate supplementation on growth performance and carcass characteristics of feedlot lambs. Small Ruminant Res. 80:107–110.
- Hope-Jones M, Strydom PE, Frylinck L, Webb EC. 2010. The efficiency of electrical stimulation to counteract the negative effects of β -agonist on meat tenderness of feedlot cattle. Meat Sci. 86:699–705.
- Johnson BJ, Chung KY. 2007. Alterations in the physiology of growth of cattle with growth-enhancing compounds. Vet Clin Food Anim. 23:321–332.
- Lean IJ, Thompson JM, Dunshea FR, Kaltenboeck B. 2014. A meta-analysis of zilpaterol and ractopamine effects on feedlot performance, carcass traits and shear strength of meat in cattle. PLoS One. 9:e115904.
- López-Carlos MA, Ramírez RG, Aguilera-Soto Jl, Aréchiga CF, Méndez-Llorente F, Rodríguez H, Silva JM. 2010. Effect of ractopamine hydrochloride and zilpaterol hydrochloride on growth, diet digestibility, intake and carcass characteristics of feedlot lambs. Livest Sci. 131:23–30.

- López-Carlos MA, Ramírez RG, Aguilera-Soto JI, Plascencia A, Rodríguez H, Aréchiga CF, Rincón RM, Medina-Flores CA, Gutiérrez-Bañuelos H. 2011. Effect of two beta adrenergic agonists and feeding duration on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of finishing lambs. Livest Sci. 138:251–258
- López-Carlos MA, Ramírez RG, Aguilera-Soto JI, Rodríguez H, Aréchiga CF, Méndez-Llorente F, Chávez JJ, Medina CA, Silva JM. 2012. Effect of the administration program of 2 β-adrenergic agonists on growth performance and carcass and meat characteristics of feedlot ram lambs. J Anim Sci. 90:1521–1531.
- Macías-Cruz U, Avendaño-Reyes L, Vicente-Pérez R, Álvarez-Valenzuela FD, Correa-Calderón A, González-Ríos H, Soto-Navarro SA, Mellado M. 2016. Growth and carcass characteristics of lambs finished with zilpaterol hydrochloride in grazing alfalfa. Rev Mex Cienc Pecu. 7:243–252.
- Macías-Cruz U, Avendaño-Reyes L, Álvarez-Valenzuela FD, Torrentera-Olivera NG, Meza-Herrera C, Mellado-Bosque M. 2013. Growth and carcass characteristics of ewe lambs treated with zilpaterol hydrochloride during spring and summer. Rev Mex Cienc Pecu. 4:1–12.
- Macías-Cruz U, Álvarez-Valenzuela FD, Torrentera-Olivera NG, Velázquez-Morales JV, Correa-Calderón A, Robinson PH, Avendaño-Reyes L. 2010. Effect of zilpaterol hydrochloride on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of ewe lambs during heat-stress conditions. Anim Prod Sci. 50:983–989.
- Martínez-Cerezo S, Sañudo C, Panea B, Medel I, Delfa R, Sierra I, Beltrán JA, Cepero R, Olleta JL. 2005. Breed, slaughter weight and ageing time effects on physico-chemical characteristics of lamb meat. Meat Sci. 69:325–333.
- Mersmann HJ. 1998. Overview of the effects of beta-adrenergic receptor agonists on animal growth including mechanisms of action. J Anim Sci. 76:160–172.
- Mondragón J, Domínguez-Vara IA, Pinos-Rodriguez JM, González M, Borquez JL, Domínguez A, Mejia ML. 2010. Effects of feed supplementation of zilpaterol hydrochloride on growth performance and carcass traits of finishing lambs. Acta Agr Scand A-AN. 60:47–52.
- Montgomery GL, Krehbiel CR, Cranston JJ, Yates DA, Hutcheson JP, Nichols WT, Streeter MN, Bechtol DT, Johnson E, TerHune T, Montgomery TH. 2009. Dietary zilpaterol hydrochloride: I. Feedlot performance and carcass traits of steers and heifers. J Anim Sci. 87:1374–1383.
- Montossi F, Font-i-Furnols M, del Campo M, San Julián R, Brito G, Sañudo C. 2013. Sustainable sheep production and consumer preference trends: compatibilities, contradictions, and unresolved dilemmas. Meat Sci. 95:772–789.
- Moody DE, Hancock DL, Anderson DB. 2000. Phenethanolamine repartitioning agents. In: JP D'Mello, editor. Farm animal metabolism and nutrition. New York (NY): CAB Int.; p. 65–95.
- NRC. 1985. Nutrient requirements of sheep. Washington (DC): National Academy Press.
- Reeds PJ, Mersmann HJ. 1991. Protein and energy requirements of animals treated with beta adrenergic agonists: a discussion. J Anim Sci. 69:1532–1550.
- Ríos-Rincón FG, Barreras-Serrano A, Estrada-Angulo A, Obregón JF, Plascencia-Jorquera A, Portillo-Loera JJ, Zinn RA. 2010. Effect of level of dietary zilpaterol hydrochloride β-agonist on performance, carcass and visceral organ mass characteristics in hairy lambs fed concentrate diets. J Appl Anim Res. 38:33–38.
- Smith GC, Griffin DB, Kenneth JH. 2001. Meat evaluation handbook revision committee. Champaign (IL): American Meat Science Association.
- Strydom PE, Frylinck L, Montgomery JL, Smith MF. 2009. The comparison of three β-agonists for growth performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of feedlot cattle. Meat Sci. 81:557–564.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J Dairy Sci. 74:3583–3597.
- Walker DK, Titgemeyer EC, Baxa TJ, Chung KY, Johnson DE, Laudert SB, Johnson J. 2010. Effects of ractopamine and sex on serum metabolites and skeletal muscle gene expression in finishing steers and heifers. J Anim Sci. 88:2620–2626.
- Webster AJF. 1981. The energetic efficiency of metabolism. Proc Nutr Soc. 40:121–128.